Some people believe that governments should levy taxes on those producers that produce pollution. Some others believe **that there are better ways**. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

Some individuals think that <u>the</u> administration should impose taxes on those manufacturers that create contamination. Other people think **that there are better ways**. In this essay I will discuss both views and finally give my own opinion. <u>Lexically / syntactically</u>

On the one hand, levying taxes can deter producers from polluting the environment. It means that when manufacturers have to pay taxes they need to pay extra expenses. Therefore, they will face financial problems, in order to cut those costs they have to cut the level of pollution that they dump into the environment. For instance, in 1980s the level of water contamination in the rivers of America was too high, so the government increased taxes by 10 percent and controlled water contamination. thus, taxing can prevent pollution of the environment.

On the other hand, subsidizing producers can be a solution to limit pollution. This means that if the government assisted producers financially, they would be encouraged to use environmentally-friendly production methods. For example, although there are many manufacturers in China, air pollution is controlled in this country because the government subsidizes them and they use alternative sources of energy which, therefore, subsidizing can help producers.

To conclude, after analyzing what has been elaborated above, I agree with both views because I believe that, the government should impose taxes for on those who contaminate air and water, and also subsidizing can assist producers so that they use alternative sources for their factories.